10/05/2005 15:16 9857587734

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

## DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A	N E	RCS Entry ligible:	YES NO NO
Date of Report: 10/06/2005	A Fr	pproved: anding Prio	YES NO NO THE PROPERTY Number (from Section 4)
DSR Number: 087-05-003K Project Number:	_ Li	imited Reso	ource Area; YES NO 12
Sponsor Name: St. Bernard Parish Government Section 1B Spons	er Inform	ation	
Address: 6201 West Judge Perez Drive			
City/State/Zip: Chalmette, LA 70043			
Telephone Number: (678) 255-3413 Fax: (678) 25	5-3410	_	
Section 1C Site Loc	ation Info	rmation	
County: St. Bernard State: LA	Congre	ssional Dis	trict; 3
Latitude: N29 57 29.8 Longitude: W89 57 00			
UTM Coordinates:			
Drainage Name: Chalmette Horse Stable	Reach: 1		
Damage Description: Horses drowned in food.			
Damage Description:		_	
Section 1D Site	e Evaluati	on	
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for E	WP assists	nce.	
Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	V		
		h!	
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil		V	
erosion prevention?* Threat to life and/or property?*	- Lance	-	Health hazard
Titleat to the alle of property?	V		Health razard
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*		V	
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	V		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	V		
Site Defensibility	ZDOWNE SOF	N. S. S. S.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	V		
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	V	П	
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments or and its possible effects? YES V. NO	of the affec	eted popular	tion have been informed of the EWP
Statutory			

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Explication

\*\*\* DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

1 of 14

Approve

#### Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings, Section 5 A; Reviews deed animals and noncests and dispose of eshos offsite.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 15,000.00

#### Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:		Date Reviewed:	
	State EWP Program Manager		
Approved By:		Date Approved:	
4,7	State Conservationist		

#### PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-515, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1976, Public Lew 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local spentors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a studier impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of oriminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.95 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing date sources, field reviews, gethering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

#### USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, Sw., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

### CIVII Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compilance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1967 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide their no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

## Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing Condition		C Atternative Designs	tion
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
23		15500000000000000000000000000000000000	D Effects of Alternativ	35.205.13.980±0380±0303+6
Soll		A CALL STREET	D Effects of Atternati	Ves Zanana za
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	105-3916-9046-0096-20-0	ACCOMPANY TO SELECT	22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22	AND
	- /			
Water	<b>阿拉斯斯</b> 斯斯斯斯斯斯		<b>图 100 图 200 图</b>	的政治是他们的特别
Downstream water rights				
mater rights				
Alf	SOME WALKER		STATE OF A STATE OF	HERE BELLEVIEW TO THE PARTY OF
Plant				
-				-
Animal				以下, 第二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十
Other	SECTION SEC	Season Season		
		Health National		

3 of 14 Approved 7/2005

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns Alternatives and Effects Existing Condition Resource Consideration Proposed Action No Action Alternative Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S. Coastal Zone Management Areas Coral Reefs Cultural Resources Endangered and Threatened Species Environmental Justice Essential Fish Habitat Fish and Wildlife Coordination Floodplain Management Invasive Species Migratory Birds Natural Areas Prime and Unique Farmlands Riparian Areas Scenic Beauty Wetlands

Completed By: Allen Bolotte

Wild and Scenic Rivers

Date: 10/06/2005

### Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Future Damages (3) Damage Factor (16) Near Term Damage Reduction

Properties Protected (Private)

Properties Protected (Public)

Business Losses

Other Health Hazard

Total Near Term Damage Reduction \$ 0.00

Not Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction 3) 0.00

Completed By: Allen Bolotte Date: 10/06/2005

087-05-003K

# Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks	
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?		V		
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	V			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?		P		
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<u> </u>			
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	V		Health hazard	
Completed By: Allen Bolotte		Date	10/06/2005	_

DSR NO:	
	087-05-003K

# Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic		
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic		
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic		
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic		
Asian Female Hispanic		
Asian Female Non-Hispanic		
Asian Male Hispanic		
Asian Male Non-Hispanic		
Black or African American Female Hispanic		
Black of African American Female Non-Hispanic		
Black or African American Male Hispanic		
Black of African American Male Non-Hispanic		
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic		
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic		
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic		
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic		
White Fernale Hispanic		
White Female Non-Hispanic		
White Male Hispanic		
White Male Non-Hispanic		
Total Group	10	

Census tract(s)	N/A		
Completed By: A	llen Bolotte	Date:	10/06/2005

Section 21. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Mitigation Description:

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

LA DEQ

St. Bernard Parish Health Department

## Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By:	Dale	Garber	Date: 10/06/2005
Compressed my			

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Propos (inc	ed Rocovery Measure luding mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Doed Animel - Romove & Dispose		25	EA	400.00	10,000.00
Mobilization		1	LS	5,000.00	5,000.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
					0.00
		Total Ins	tallation Cost (En	ter in Section 1F)\$	15,000.00

Unit Abbreviations:
AC Acre LS Lump Sum
CY Cubic Yard SF Square Feet
EA Each SY Square Yard
HR Hour TN Ton
LF Linear Feet Other (Specifiy)

## Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?	7		No.	1a
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?			20 33 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?		Е		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?			能的建筑高级高	
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?		100		
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?		55 5-1-		
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			a	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?		rio de de gr		

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

## Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Se	ection 2 (Enter to Section 1E):
	natives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental
Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 C)	R 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated helow, that the preferred alternative:
Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EV	VP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
The action will be referred to the NRCS State	nmental assessment or environmental impact statement, Office on this date;
NRCS representative of the DSR team	
Title: My Make M	ate: 10/06/2005
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C S	ponsor Concurrence:
Sponsor Representative	
Title: Van D	nto: 10/13/85
Section 6 Attachments:	
A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches	
C. Other (explain)  ARU H Bren DIN	
OBOTH OLSON DINA	

